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Context of work

Liquid crystals are rodlike molecules that possess an intermediate phase between solid and liquid, hence two melting points:

- A ‘lower’ melting point $T_m$: the substance is solid for $T < T_m$;
- An ‘upper’ melting point $T_{IN}$: the substance behaves like an isotropic liquid for $T > T_{IN}$
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Liquid crystals are rodlike molecules that possess an intermediate phase between solid and liquid, hence two melting points:

- A ‘lower’ melting point $T_m$: the substance is solid for $T < T_m$;
- An ‘upper’ melting point $T_{IN}$: the substance behaves like an isotropic liquid for $T > T_{IN}$

Between these values, the substance has orientational order and behaves like a fluid with a highly complicated rheology – liquid crystal.
Context of work

Liquid crystals aligned in a single direction have the same optical properties as uniaxial crystals, yet their properties are easily modified by outside influences (e.g. electrical fields, strain fields, etc.), hence their ubiquity in LCDs.

Another way to modify liquid crystal properties – hydrodynamics – the main focus of this talk.
Modelling Approach

The **director** \( \hat{n}(x, t) \) gives the mesoscopically-averaged direction of molecular orientation at a point \( x \). Necessary to formulate evolution equations for \( \hat{n}(x, t) \).
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\[
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and the eigenvector can be unambiguously identified with the direction of the (ahem) director – rodlike molecule – **uniaxial case**.

For the general case, there are three directions associated with the Q-tensor – ellipsoidal molecule (**biaxial** liquid crystal).
Landau theory

Landau theory is applied to the system near the liquid/liquid-crystal transition temperature, writing the free energy of the system as

\[ F = F_0 + F_K, \]

where \( F_0 \) is the double-well part associated with the phase change:

\[ F_0 = \int d^3x \chi(Q), \quad \chi(Q) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_F \text{tr}(Q^2) - \beta_F \text{tr}(Q^3) + \gamma_F \left[ \text{tr}(Q^2) \right]^2, \]

\[ \alpha_F = 12a(T - T^*). \]

The term \( F_K \) is the energy penalty for distortions,

\[ F_K = \int d^3x W(\nabla Q), \quad W(\nabla Q) = \frac{1}{2} k \| \nabla Q \|_2^2 \]

under the one-elastic-constant assumption (bend, splay, and twist all energetically equally unfavourable).
Structure of quartic potential, uni-axial case

In the absence of inhomogeneities, the structure of the quartic potential \( \chi(Q) \) can be understood using

\[
\chi(S) = \frac{1}{12} \alpha_F S^2 - \frac{1}{36} \beta_F S^3 + \frac{1}{36} \gamma_F S^3, \quad S = \sqrt{6 \text{tr}(Q^2)}, \quad Q_{ij} \text{ uniaxial}
\]

- Free-energy minima
  \( (\partial \chi / \partial S)_{S_*} = 0 \)
- Nematic state favourable compared to isotropic one:
  \( \chi(S_*, T) < \chi(0, T) \)
- Gives critical temperature

\[
T_{IN} = T_* + \frac{B^2}{4ac},
\]

where \( \alpha_F = 12a(T - T_*) \).
Gradient dynamics

With inhomogeneities present, but without flow, system evolves so as to minimize its free energy – subject to the constraint (Lagrange multiplier) that the $Q$-tensor remains traceless. Result –

$$
\zeta \frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial t} = - \left[ \frac{\delta F}{\delta Q_{ij}} - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr} \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta Q_{ij}} \right) \delta_{ij} \right].
$$
Write down Lagrangian for incompressible flow, constant density $\rho_0$:

$$L = \int_{\Omega} d^3a \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right) \frac{p}{\rho_0} \right\} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{d^3a}{\rho_0} \left[ \chi(\mathbf{Q}) + W(\mathbf{Q}) - \lambda \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbb{I} \right]$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ is a particle label and $\rho_0 d^3x = d^3a$. 
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Dissipation is accounted for by dissipative forces; these are $-\delta \mathcal{R}/\delta \mathbf{v}$ and $-\delta \mathcal{R}/\delta Q$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is a dissipative function, to be modelled.

Theory is closed by equating dissipative forces with generalized forces:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \frac{\delta L}{\delta (\partial \mathbf{x}/\partial \tau)} - \frac{\delta L}{\delta \mathbf{x}} = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{R}}{\delta \mathbf{v}} \text{ etc.}$$
Dissipation is modelled so as to be a positive-definite materially frame-indifferent quantity. Also, should reduce to Navier–Stokes when $Q \to 0$. Hence,

$$R = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \int_\Omega d^3a R,$$

where $R$ is a quadratic function of $D$ (strain rate) and the co-rotational derivative

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla Q - \Omega Q + Q\Omega,$$

$$\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_i u_j - \partial_j u_i \right),$$

hence

$$R = R(D, Q, \dot{Q}).$$
Final version of equations

Putting this all together, with

\[ R = \frac{1}{2} \zeta_1 = \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \cdot \mathbf{Q} + \zeta_2 \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3 \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D} + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{31} \mathbf{D} \cdot (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q}) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{32} (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{Q})^2, \]

\[ \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{Q} = D_{ij} Q_{ij} \text{ etc.} \]

gives a final set of equations:
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\[ \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{Q} = D_{ij}Q_{ij} \text{ etc.} \]

gives a final set of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Q-tensor:} & \quad \zeta_1 \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \right) + \frac{\zeta_2}{3} \mathbf{D} = \text{Note inhomogeneity!} \\
& \quad k \nabla^2 \mathbf{Q} - (\alpha_F \mathbf{Q} - 3 \beta_F \mathbf{Q}^2 + 4 \gamma_F \text{tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2) \mathbf{Q}) + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} \left[ \zeta_2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}) - 3 \beta_F \text{tr}(\mathbf{Q}^2) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hydrodynamics:} & \quad \rho_0 \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{T}, \\
& \quad \mathbf{T} = -p\mathbf{I} - k \nabla \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{Q} + \zeta_2 \mathbf{Q} + \zeta_3 \mathbf{D} + \zeta_3 \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{D}) + \zeta_3 (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{Q},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{Incompressibility:} \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0.
\]
Non-dimensional equations – dimensionless groups

Length scale $L$ and timescale $t_0 = \zeta_1/(8\gamma_F)$ – hence dimensionless Q-tensor equation

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{Q}}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{Q} - \tilde{\Omega} \tilde{Q} - \tilde{Q} \tilde{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\tilde{Q}^2) \tilde{Q} + \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{I} \left[ T_u \text{tr}(\tilde{D}) - 3g_2 \text{tr}(\tilde{Q}^2) \right],
\]
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\alpha_F/(8\gamma_F) = -g_1[1-(T/T_*)] \equiv -g_1(1-\theta), \quad \beta_F/(8\gamma_F) = g_2, \quad \epsilon = k/(L^2\gamma_F).
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Hence also, a dimensionless momentum equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla \tilde{v} = -\tilde{\nabla}p + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla \cdot \tilde{D} + \text{Br} \nabla \cdot \left[ -\epsilon^2 \nabla Q \odot \nabla Q + \cdots \right],
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\[
\text{Br} = \frac{\zeta_1}{\rho_0 L(L/t_0)}, \quad \text{Re} = \frac{\rho_0 L(L/t_0)}{\zeta_3}.
\]
Limiting case

I work on the limit where $Br = 0$ – no feedback of Q-tensor gradients into the flow – flow is independent of Q-tensor. I can therefore apply standard chaotic flows to the Q-tensor dynamics

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla Q - \tilde{\Omega}Q - Q\tilde{\Omega} + \underbrace{Tu}_{=(\zeta_2/\zeta_1)} \tilde{D}$$

$$= \epsilon^2 \nabla^2 Q + g_1(1 - \theta)Q + 3g_2Q^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(Q^2)Q + \frac{1}{3} I \left[ Tu \text{tr}(\tilde{D}) - 3g_2 \text{tr}(Q^2) \right],$$

The flow timescales and the tumbling parameter $Tu$ are the key parameters.
Two-dimensional geometry

I work with a sample confined between two narrowly separated parallel plates. **Anchoring conditions** are applied in the same fashion at the top and bottom walls such that the director is parallel to the plates.

As such, the Q-tensor simplifies:

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
q & r & 0 \\
0 & r & s \\
0 & 0 & -(q+s)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
S = \sqrt{6\text{tr}(Q^2)} = \sqrt{12(r^2 + q^2 + s^2 + qs)}.
\]

The dynamical equations for the Q-tensor also simplify – there are only three of them.
Fixed-point analysis

I look at fixed points for \( \mathbf{v} = \nabla = \partial_t = 0 \). Remarkably, all fixed points can be found in closed form (not shown) and classified:

- **Biaxial state** – two independent eigendirections in a spectral decomposition of \( Q \)
- **Uniaxial state** – only one independent eigendirection \( \hat{n} \), with \( Q_{ij} \propto n_i n_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \)

Hence, Case 1a (\( r = 0, s = q \)) gives a stable most-dangerous mode (MDM) – biaxial
Case 1b,c (\( r = 0, s \neq q \)) give neutral and unstable MDMs – uniaxial
Case 2 gives neutral and unstable MDMs – uniaxial
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Hence,

- **Case 1a** ($r = 0, s = q$) gives a stable most-dangerous mode (MDM) – **biaxial**
- **Case 1b,c** ($r = 0, s \neq q$) give neutral and unstable MDMs – **uniaxial**
- **Case 2** gives neutral and unstable MDMs – **uniaxial**
Fixed-point analysis – one last subtlety

Another possibility – Case 3, with \( s(t) = \lambda q(t) \), where \( \lambda = \text{Const.} \). Dynamics collapse into a single equation

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = \Phi(q), \quad r^2 = (2\lambda^2 + 5\lambda + 2)q^2
\]

This gives more stable uniaxial fixed points which are all stable. These fixed points agree with Case 1b,c and Case 2 fixed points yet Case 3 is stable while the others are not – Case 3 is a restriction of other cases along stable eigendirections.
To understand evolution, I study Q-tensor dynamics (three-equation model) in the absence of flow using a pseudospectral numerical method on a doubly-periodic spatial domain. Two sets of initial conditions considered:

- **Uni-axial initial conditions** $\hat{n} = (\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$, with a different random number $\varphi$ and a different random value of the scalar order parameter $S$ at each point in space:

  \[
  Q(t = 0) = Q = \frac{1}{2} S \begin{pmatrix}
  \cos^2 \varphi - 1/3 & \cos \varphi \sin \varphi & 0 \\
  \cos \varphi \sin \varphi & \sin^2 \varphi - 1/3 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & -1/3
\end{pmatrix}
  \]

- **Bi-axial initial conditions**, with

  \[
  Q(t = 0) = \begin{pmatrix}
  \lambda_1 n_x^2 + \lambda_2 n_y^2 & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) n_x n_y & 0 \\
  (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) n_x n_y & \lambda_1 n_y^2 + \lambda_2 n_x^2 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)
\end{pmatrix}
  \]

  with $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ drawn from uniform distributions such that $\text{tr}[Q(t = 0)] = 0$. 
Results – Uniaxial initial conditions

FIG. 1. Snapshots of scalar order parameter at various times.

FIG. 2. Fuller characterization of the system at $t = 5000$. (a) Plot of $s + q$ at $t = 5000$ revealing a bimodal distribution. The value $s + q \approx A_+$ corresponds to a type-3 stable fixed point while the $s + q \approx 2(A_+ - g_2)$ corresponds to a type-1(a) unstable bi-axial fixed point; (b) Plot of $s$ at the same time. The small circular contours correspond $s + q \approx 2(A_+ - g_2)$ (i.e. bi-axial regions) and the larger contours correspond to $r = 0$; (c) Plot of $\lambda = s/q$ for $|q| > 0.05$ (the hatched regions correspond to $|q| < 0.05$.
Defects

Why do unstable biaxial fixed points persist at late times?

- Answer is due to defects – director $\hat{n} = (\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$ experiences jumps in $\varphi$ (line defects).
- Line defects end at defect cores, which coincide with biaxial islands.
- Each defect core has a topological charge (winding number) $\pm 1/2$.
- Total topological charge is conserved, meaning biaxial islands can’t spontaneously disappear – they have to merge, thereby gradually reducing system energy.
Results – Biaxial initial conditions

The system forms domains – pure stable biaxial fixed points or mixed domains containing uniaxial fixed points and unstable biaxial islands:

Top: snapshots of scalar order parameter at various times for the bi-axial initial data. Bottom: corresponding snapshots for $r$. The domains with $r = 0$ correspond to the bi-axial state.
Coarsening

Simulations show that system self-orders over time – random initial conditions coalesce into domains.

Quantified by measuring

\[ L(t) = \frac{2\pi}{k_1}, \quad k_1 = \frac{\int |\hat{C}_k|^2 d^2k}{\int |k|^{-1} |\hat{C}_k|^2 d^2k}, \]

where

\[ \langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} S(x) d^2x, \]
\[ \hat{C}_k = \int_{\Omega} e^{-i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{k}} (S - \langle S \rangle) d^2x. \]

Not the same \( L \) as before – sorry!

Calculation shows \( L(t) \sim t^{1/2} \) – diffusive scaling
Model sine flow

I first of all looked at a model (quasi-) periodic velocity field,

\[ u = A \sin (k_0 y + \varphi_n), \quad 0 \leq \text{mod}(t, \tau) < \frac{1}{2} \tau, \]
\[ v = A \sin (k_0 x + \psi_n), \quad \frac{1}{2} \tau \leq \text{mod}(t, \tau) < \tau, \]

which mimics the effect of turbulence at high Prandtl number.

- The phases \( \varphi_n \) and \( \psi_n \) are held constant or are randomized periodically.
- The velocity field has a Lagrangian timescale given by the Lyapunov exponent \( \Lambda \), which can be computed for the constant-phase and random-phase cases.
Results – no tumbling, constant-phase sine flow

Across the top: snapshots of scalar order parameter at various times for various values of $A\tau$, with $Tu = 0$. Across the bottom: corresponding snapshots of $r$. Snapshots in the first two columns are taken at $t = 320$. The third column (figures (c) and (f)) concerns $A\tau = 1.6$, for which the snapshots are taken at $t = 320$; these are included here to demonstrate the relaxation to a uniform state for the large values of $A\tau$. The compressed colour bars in these figures is a consequence of the rapid relaxation to the uniform steady state.
Coarsening is arrested / overwhelmed

(a) Time evolution of the domain scale $L(t)$ for various values of $A\tau$. The inset shows the time-averaged values of $L(t)$ for a much larger range of $A\tau$-values, with angle brackets denoting a time average. The time-averages are taken over intervals where the $Q$-tensor dynamics are in a statistically steady state. (b) The same, for $R := \int L_x^{-1} L_y^{-1} \int r^2 \, dx \, dy$
Results – Only the biaxial fixed point remains when the phases are randomized

Across the top: snapshots of scalar order parameter at various times for $A \tau = 0.04$ and $Tu = 0$, for the random-phase sine flow. Across the bottom: corresponding snapshots of $r$. The compressed colour bars in panel (c) is a consequence of the rapid relaxation to the uniform steady state.
The oscillating cell flow

I also consider an oscillating cell flow with $u = \partial \psi / \partial y$ and $v = -\partial \psi / \partial x$, where

$\psi(x, y, z, t) = A_0 \sin (k_x x + A_1 \cos(\omega t)) \sin(k_y y)$. 
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Again, only biaxial fixed point survives:

Across the top: snapshots of scalar order parameter for various values of \( \omega \) and for \( \text{Tu} = 0 \), for the oscillating cell flow. Across the bottom: corresponding snapshots of \( r \).
Relaxation to the biaxial state depends on the frequency of the oscillating cell flow.

Time evolution of $R(t)$ for various values of $\omega$, for the oscillating cell flow whose stream-function is given by Equation (24). Flow parameters: $A_0 = 0.05/(2\pi)$, $A_1 = \pi$, $k_x = 6\pi/L_x$, $k_y = 2\pi/L_y$, with $L_x = L_y = 1$. The simulations again use periodic boundary conditions in both spatial directions.
Key to understanding depends on studying dynamics along Lagrangian trajectories:
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\] (Diffusion negligible)
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