

Chapter 9, FIEs:

$$y(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b k(x,s) y(s) ds \quad (1)$$

Solve as a series:

$$y = (\mathbf{I} - \lambda k)^{-1} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \mathcal{K}^n f \quad (2)$$

The sum of the series in (2) is called the resolvent kernel (§ 9.2).

$$\mathcal{K} f(x) = \int_a^b k(x,s) f(s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^2 f(x) &= \int_a^b k(x,s) \left[\int_a^b k(s,s') f(s') ds' \right] ds \\ &= \int_a^b \left[\underbrace{\int_a^b k(x,s) k(s,s') ds}_{= k_2(x,s')} \right] f(s') ds' \end{aligned}$$

Pattern:

$$\mathcal{K}^n f(x) = \int_a^b K_n(x,s) f(s) ds$$

K_n 's defined inductively:

$$\begin{cases} K_n(x,s) = \int_a^b k(x,\tilde{s}) k_{n-1}(\tilde{s},s) d\tilde{s} \\ K_1(x,s) = k(x,s) \end{cases}$$

Hence:
$$K_n(x,s) = \int_a^b k_m(x,\tilde{s}) k_{n-m}(\tilde{s},s) d\tilde{s}$$

Also:

$$|K_n(x,s)| \leq M^n |b-a|^n$$

Back to:

$$y(x) = f(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \mathcal{K}^n f(x) \quad (\text{last week}).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y(x) &= f(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_a^b k_n(x,s) f(s) ds \\
&= f(x) + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} \int_a^b k_n(x,s) f(s) ds && p = n-1 \\
&= f(x) + \lambda \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \lambda^p \int_a^b k_{p+1}(x,s) f(s) ds && p_{start} = 0 \\
&= f(x) + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_a^b k_{n+1}(x,s) f(s) ds && p_{end} = n \\
&= f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b \underbrace{\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}(x,s) \right]}_{\Gamma(x,s,\lambda)} f(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma(x,s,\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}(x,s) \quad \text{is the resolvent kernel.}$$

Hence:

$$y(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b \Gamma(x,s,\lambda) f(s) ds$$

no y -dependence; it has been resolved.

Theorem 9.1 The resolvent kernel satisfies the FIE.

Proof:

$$\Gamma(x,t,\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}(x,t)$$

$$= k_1(x,t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}(x,t) \quad | \quad p = n$$

1-1
1-1

$$\begin{aligned}
&= k_1(x,t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}(x,t) \\
&= \underbrace{k_1(x,t)}_{K(x,t)} + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} k_{n+1}(x,t) \\
&= k_1(x,t) + \lambda \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \lambda^p k_{p+2}(x,t) \\
&= k_1(x,t) + \lambda \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \lambda^p \int_a^b k(x,s) k_{p+1}(s,t) ds \\
&= k_1(x,t) + \lambda \int_a^b \underbrace{\left[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \lambda^p k_{p+1}(s,t) \right]}_{\Gamma(s,t,\lambda)} k(x,s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \Gamma(x,t,\lambda) = \underbrace{k_1(x,t)}_{K(x,t)} + \lambda \int_a^b k(x,s) \Gamma(s,t,\lambda) ds$$

Worked example: (§9.2.1)

$$k(x,t) = 1 - 3xt, \quad x \in [0,1], \quad t \in [0,1]$$

$$\Gamma(x,t,\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}(x,t)$$

$$k_1(x,t) = 1 - 3xt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_2(x,t) &= \int_0^1 k(x,s) k_1(s,t) ds \\
&= \int_0^1 (1 - 3xs)(1 - 3st) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & n-1 \\ & = 0 \\ & = n \\ & 2 = n+1 \end{aligned}$$

S

) ds



$$= \int_0^1 (1-3xs)(1-st) ds$$

$$= 1 - \frac{3}{2}(x+t) + 3xt$$

$$k_3(x,t) = \int_0^1 k(x,s) k_2(s,t) ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 (1-3xs) \left[1 - \frac{3}{2}(s+t) + 3st \right] ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (1-3xt) = \frac{1}{4} k_1(x,t)$$

The problem is closed:

$$k_n(x,t) = \frac{1}{4} k_{n-2}(x,t) \quad n \geq 3.$$

$$\Gamma(x,t,\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}(x,t)$$

$$= k(x,t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}(x,t)$$

$$= k(x,t) + \lambda^2 k_3(x,t) + \lambda^4 k_5(x,t) + \dots$$

$$+ \lambda k_2(x,t) + \lambda^3 k_4(x,t) + \dots$$

$$= k(x,t) + \lambda k_2(x,t) + \lambda^3 k_4(x,t) + \dots$$

$$+ \lambda^2 k_3(x,t) + \lambda^4 k_5(x,t) + \dots$$

$$= k(x,t) + \lambda k_2(x,t) + \lambda^3 \frac{1}{4} k_2(x,t) + \dots$$

$$+ \lambda^2 \frac{1}{4} k(x,t) + \lambda^4 \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} k(x,t)$$

$$= \left[1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^4}{4^2} + \dots \right] k(x,t) + \lambda \left[1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} + \dots \right] k_2(x,t)$$

$$\text{g.p.} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}} k(x,t) + \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}} k_2(x,t)$$

Requires: $\lambda^2/4 < 1$

Hence:

$$\Gamma(x,t,\lambda) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}} \left[(1 - 3xt) + \lambda \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}(x+t) + 3xt \right) \right]$$

Resolvent kernel valid for all $\lambda \neq \pm 2$:

- G.P. requires $\lambda^2 < 4$, but final answer is more general and can be applied for all $\lambda^2 \neq 4$.
- Resolvent kernel is valid provided λ is not an eigenvalue of the FIE.

General method for computing the resolvent kernel:

- Try and find a difference equation linking k_n to k_{n-1} , k_{n-2} etc. the problem is closed and the series in the resolvent kernel can be computed explicitly.

1

—
can be computed explicitly.

Friday — we will apply this method to Exercises # 6



Week 10, Lecture 3

Fri 10th April 2026

FIEs — done.

Remark — FIEs give rise to a reproducing kernel Hilbert Space, which is a concept that is used a lot in Data Science

Today: Exercises #6 Question 4.

FIE:

$$y(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 k(x,s) y(s) ds \quad (1)$$

Given: $k(x,s) = (x+s)e^{x-s}$. (2)

Aim: Compute

$$\Gamma(x,z, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n K_{n+1}(x,z)$$

Solution: Compute $k_1 = k$, k_2 , k_3 , etc. and see if a pattern emerges.

$$k_2 = k * k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow k_2(x,t) &= \int_0^1 k(x,s) k(s,t) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 (x+s)e^{x-s} (s+t)e^{s-t} ds \\ &= e^{x-t} \int_0^1 (x+s)(s+t) ds \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$K_2(x,t) = e^{x-t} \left[\frac{1}{2}x + xt + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}t \right]$$

$$= e^{x-t} \left[\frac{1}{2}(x+t) + (xt + \frac{1}{3}) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow K_2(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}K(x,t) + e^{x-t} \left(xt + \frac{1}{3} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$K_3 = K_2 * K$$

$$= \int_0^1 K_2(x,s) K(s,t) ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2}K + e^{x-s} \left(xs + \frac{1}{3} \right) \right] e^{s-t} (s+t) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}K_2 + \int_0^1 e^{x-s} e^{s-t} \left(xs + \frac{1}{3} \right) (s+t) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}K_2 + e^{x-t} \int_0^1 \left(xs + \frac{1}{3} \right) (s+t) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}K_2 + e^{x-t} \left[\frac{1}{3}x + \frac{1}{2}xt + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}t \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}K_2 + \frac{1}{3}e^{x-t} (x+t) + \frac{1}{2}e^{x-t} \left(xt + \frac{1}{3} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow K_3 \stackrel{\text{Eq. (3)}}{=} \frac{1}{2}K_2 + \frac{1}{3}K + \frac{1}{2} \left[K_2 - \frac{1}{2}K \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{3}K - \frac{1}{4}K$$

Hence:

$$k_3 = k_2 + \frac{1}{12} k$$

This closes the problem.

$$k_{n+2} = k_{n+1} + \frac{1}{12} k_n, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (4)$$

Back to

$$\Gamma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}$$

$$= k + \lambda k_2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda^n k_{n+1}$$

$$= k + \lambda k_2 + \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} k_{n+1}$$

$$= k + \lambda k_2 + \lambda \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda^p k_{p+2}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} p &= n-1 \\ p+1 &= n \\ p+2 &= n+1 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{eq. (4)}}{=} k + \lambda k_2 + \lambda \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda^p \left[k_{p+1} + \frac{1}{12} k_p \right]$$

$$= k + \lambda k_2 + \lambda \left[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \lambda^p k_{p+1} - k \right] + \frac{1}{12} \lambda \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda^p k_p$$

$$\Gamma = \underline{k} + \lambda k_2 + \lambda [\Gamma - \underline{k}] + \frac{1}{12} \lambda \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda^p k_p$$

$$= (1-\lambda)k + \lambda k_2 + \lambda \Gamma + \frac{1}{12} \lambda \cdot \lambda \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} k_p$$

Re-index: $q = p-1$ | $q+1 = p$
 $q_{start} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma = (1-\lambda)k + \lambda k_2 + \lambda \Gamma + \frac{1}{12} \lambda^2 \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^q k_{q+1}$$

$$= (1-\lambda)k + \lambda k_2 + \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{12} \lambda^2\right) \Gamma$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(1 - \lambda - \frac{1}{12} \lambda^2\right) \Gamma = (1-\lambda)k + \lambda k_2$$

Eigenvalues: $1 - \lambda - \frac{1}{12} \lambda^2 = 0$.

One λ is not an eigenvalue, Γ exists and is equal to:

$$\Gamma = \frac{(1-\lambda)k + \lambda k_2}{1 - \lambda - \frac{1}{12} \lambda^2}$$

4 b) Solve the PDE for:

$$f(x) = x^2$$

$$\lambda = 2.$$

Leave answer in terms of

$$I_n = \int_0^1 u^n e^{-u} du.$$

Check: 2 is not an eigenvalue.

$$Q(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda - \frac{1}{12} \lambda^2.$$

$$Q(2) = 1 - 2 - \frac{1}{12} \cdot 4$$

$$= -1 - \frac{1}{3} \neq 0.$$

$\therefore \lambda = 2$ not an eigenvalue.

Solution of PDE from lecture notes:

$$y(x) = \underbrace{x^2}_{=f} + \underbrace{2}_{=\lambda} \int_0^1 \underbrace{\Gamma(x, z; 2)}_{=f} \underbrace{z^2}_{=f} dz.$$

Look at:

$$\Gamma(x, z, 2) = \left(\frac{(1-\lambda)k_1 + \lambda k_2}{1-\lambda - \frac{1}{12} \lambda^2} \right)_{\lambda=2}$$

