



MBCbigP: model based clustering for high dimensional data

Cathal Mullin, Triona Ryan, Adrian O'Hagan and Claire Gormley

School of Mathematics and Statistics & the Insight Centre for Data Analytics

University College Dublin.

- Model based clustering when the number of variables *p* is large.
- 'Large' means 100s to 100,000s of variables.
- Clustering when dimension reduction approaches are not practically interpretable.
- Focus is on clustering solution, *and* on interpretability at the variable level.

Epigenetic DNA methylation data

- Epigenetics: heritable changes in phenotype, caused by a mechanism other than mutation to the DNA sequence.
- DNA methylation is the best understood epigenetic mechanism.



Epigenetic DNA methylation data

- DNA methylation affects gene transcription and is influenced by environment.
- Current *Illumina* technology reads methylation level at around 0.5 million CpG sites.
- Interest lies in clustering samples based on *all* their methylation data, and on understanding *at a CpG level* the differences between clusters.
- Cluster N = 597 breast cancer tumour samples, where tumour subtypes (Basal and non-Basal) are known.

- MBCbigP employs a finite mixture of probability distributions.
- Approximate the probability distribution within a cluster by the product of conditional distributions.
- Fit MBCbigP in a sequential manner via the EM algorithm.

- Denote observation i's data as the p-vector y_i.
- Unknown number of clusters is G.
- Proportion belonging to cluster g denoted τ_g .
- Assume within a cluster data are $\sim MVN_p(\mu_g, \Sigma_g)$.
- Divide the *p* variables into Q = 3 segments (say) denoted 1, 2, 3, each of length r = p/Q:

$$y_i^T = (y_{i1}, y_{i2}, y_{i3})^T$$

$$f(y_i) = \sum_{g=1}^{G} \tau_g f[(y_{i1}, y_{i2}, y_{i3})|\theta_g]$$

=
$$\sum_{g=1}^{G} \tau_g f(y_{i1}|\theta_g) f(y_{i2}|y_{i1}, \theta_g) f(y_{i3}|y_{i2}, y_{i1}, \theta_g)$$

- Given y_i ~ MVN, and the properties of the Gaussian distribution, each segment y_i... ~ MVN.
- Introduce the *G*-vector z_i : $z_{ig} = 1$ if $i \in g$, and 0 otherwise.

$$\mathcal{L}_{C} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{g=1}^{G} \left[\tau_{g} f(y_{i1} | \theta_{g}) f(y_{i2} | y_{i1}, \theta_{g}) f(y_{i3} | y_{i2}, y_{i1}, \theta_{g}) \right]^{z_{ig}}$$

Partitioned Gaussians

• Partition y_i into Q = 2 segments, of length r:

$$y_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ y_{i2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1} \\ \mu_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Lambda = \Sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{11} & \Lambda_{12} \\ \Lambda_{21} & \Lambda_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Then:

$$y_{i1} \sim MVN_r(\mu_1, \Sigma_{11})$$

and

$$y_{i2}|y_{i1} \sim MVN_r(\mu_{2|1}, \Lambda_{22}^{-1})$$

where

$$\mu_{2|1} = \mu_2 - \Lambda_{22}^{-1} \Lambda_{21} (y_{i1} - \mu_1)$$

$$\Lambda_{22}^{-1} = (\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12})^{-1}$$

 Approximate by conditioning on the previous segment of data only:

$$f(y_{i3}|y_{i2}, y_{i1}, \theta_g) \approx f(y_{i3}|y_{i2}, \theta_g^{3|2})$$

• Run EM on pairs of dependent segments, in stages:

Stage 1:
$$\mathcal{L}_{C}^{1} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{g=1}^{G} \left[\tau_{g} f(y_{i1} | \theta_{g}^{1}) \right]^{z_{ig}}$$

Stage 2: $\mathcal{L}_{C}^{12} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{g=1}^{G} \left[\tau_{g} f(y_{i1} | \theta_{g}^{1}) f(y_{i2} | y_{i1}, \theta_{g}^{2} | 1) \right]^{z_{ig}}$
Stage 3: $\mathcal{L}_{C}^{23} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{g=1}^{G} \left[\tau_{g} f(y_{i2} | \theta_{g}^{2}) f(y_{i3} | y_{i2}, \theta_{g}^{3} | 2) \right]^{z_{ig}}$

- Fit a mixture of (unconstrained) Gaussians at each stage, via an EM algorithm.
- Only requires storage of 2 data segments and their associated r dimensional parameters in memory at a time.
- The Z matrix produced on convergence at each stage is used as a starting value for the next stage.
- Segment specific parameter estimates are passed on to the next stage also.
- Thus the use of conditional probability distributions and the informed starting values, achieves a level of dependence across the large number of dimensions.

- Evaluate the conditional Gaussian densities on convergence at each stage.
- Leads to approximation of the likelihood for the p dimensional data:

$$\mathcal{L} \approx \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \tau_{g} f(y_{i1} | \theta_{g}^{1}) f(y_{i2} | y_{i1}, \theta_{g}^{2|1}) f(y_{i3} | y_{i2}, \theta_{g}^{3|2})$$

 Use this approximation in standard model selection criteria eg. BIC. Illustrative examples

Toy example: bank note data



- Six measurements made on 100 genuine and 100 counterfeit Swiss bank notes.
- Divide the p = 6 variables into Q = 3 segments.
- Only considered VVV models.

(Approximate) BIC and adjusted Rand index values:

G	1	2	3	4	adjR
MBCbigP	-1968.81	-1898.04	-2034.99	-2353.70	0.96
mclust 📕	-2663.64	-2452.95	-2521.47	NA	0.92
mclust	-1978.94	-1751.31	-1699.32	-1798.55	0.85

• mclust with G = 2 gives adjR = 0.98.

Toy example: bank note data



No. of clusters

DNA methylation data

- Initially examine p = 2000 CpG sites known to be differentially methylated between tumour subtypes.
- Use Q = 25 segments of size r = 80 each.
- Considered G = 1, 2. (Not possible to fit G = 3.)

	Optimal G	Error Rate	adjR
MBCbigP	2	18%	0.4019
mclust 📕	2	18%	0.4019
mclust	2	18%	0.4018

Lots to do...

- M-step for the Σ_{g12} needs to be resolved.
- Examine a 'blended' clustering solution, based on cluster membership at the end of each EM algorithm stage.
- Selection and ordering of segments is influential.
- Variable selection: not all variables contain information.
- Methodological aim: develop MBCbigP for a suite of mclust models.
- Applied aim: cluster cord blood DNA methylation samples with \approx 0.5 million CpG sites.



This research was supported by the Insight Centre for Data Analytics through Science Foundation Ireland Grant SFI/12/RC/2289.