## The Pedersen Rigidity Problem

Steve Kaliszewski Tron Omland John Quigg\*

AGA, Dublin, May 2019

## How do we get the action back?

Given an action  $\alpha$  of a locally compact group G on a  $C^*$ -algebra A, our first reaction is to form the crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ .

#### Question

How do we recover the action from the crossed-product?

### Short answer

We can't.

#### Example

If G acts on  $C_0(G)$  by translation, then  $C_0(G) \rtimes G \simeq \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ . But also

 $(C_0(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))) \rtimes G \simeq \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)) \simeq \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)),$  where G acts trivially on  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Now,  $C_0(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  is not isomorphic to  $C_0(G)$ , but they are at least Morita equivalent.

#### Even worse

### Example (KOQ)

Examples arising from number theory (and which began with Cuntz) give nonisomorphic commutative  $C^*$ -algebras carrying actions of a discrete abelian group G with isomorphic crossed products. Note that by commutativity, A and B are not Morita equivalent.

So, there's no hope of recovering  $(A, \alpha)$  just from  $A \rtimes G$ , even up to Morita equivalence.

### The dual action

**Standing assumption:** *G* is abelian.

Then there is a dual action  $\widehat{\alpha}$  of the dual group  $\widehat{G}$  on  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ .

We assume that we know the group G, the crossed product  $A \rtimes G$ , and the dual action  $\widehat{\alpha}$ .

We want to know what other information we need to recover the  $C^*$ -algebra A and the action  $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut} A$ , at least in some sense.

### Can be generalized

A lot of this can be done with nonabelian groups, but that's a



# Takesaki-Takai duality

### Theorem (Takesaki-Takai)

$$A \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rtimes_{\widehat{\alpha}} \widehat{G} \simeq A \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^{2}(G)).$$

Moreover,  $\widehat{\widehat{\alpha}}$  corresponds to  $\alpha \otimes \operatorname{Ad} \rho$ .

Here  $\rho$  is the (right, but who cares since G is abelian) regular representation of G.

This recovers  $(A, G, \alpha)$  from the dual action  $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha})$  up to Morita equivalence.

To recover more, we need to keep track of more information about the the crossed product.



### More data

The crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$  is generated by a universal covariant representation

$$(i_A,i_G):(A,G)\to M(A\rtimes G)$$

The extra data we consider involves  $i_A$ ,  $i_G$ .

### Landstad duality

Landstad asks for one more piece of information:  $i_G$ .

Landstad constructs (what we now call) the generalized fixed-point algebra  $\operatorname{Fix}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha}, i_G)$ , which is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $M(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G)$ .

#### Theorem (Landstad)

The original action  $(A, \alpha)$  is isomorphic to  $(Fix(A \bowtie_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha}, i_G), Ad i_G)$ .

Indeed,  $i_A: A \to M(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G)$  gives the isomorphism.



# What's the underlying structure?

#### Definition

An equivariant action of  $\widehat{G}$  is a triple  $(C, \gamma, v)$ , where  $(C, \gamma)$  is an action of  $\widehat{G}$  and  $v: G \to M(C)$  is a (fine print: strictly continuous) unitary homomorphism such that  $\gamma_{\chi}(v_s) = \chi(s)v_s$  for  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  and  $s \in G$ .

Thus  $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha}, i_G)$  is an equivariant action.

Landstad duality says that the generalized fixed-point algebra  $A := \operatorname{Fix}(C, \gamma, v)$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of M(C), Ad v gives an action  $\alpha$  of G on A, and  $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha}, i_G) \simeq (C, \gamma, v)$ .

## Explore the middle

#### Question

How much does the generalized fixed-point algebra  $Fix(C, \gamma, v)$  depend upon v?

In other words, could we recover v from the action  $(C, \gamma)$  if we also knew the generalized fixed-point algebra  $Fix(C, \gamma, v)$ ?

### Pedersen's theorem

#### Definition

A cocycle for an action  $(A, G, \alpha)$  is a (fine print: strictly continuous) unitary map  $u: G \to M(A)$  such that  $u_{st} = u_s \alpha_s(u_t)$ . Then Ad  $u \circ \alpha$  is another action on A, said to be exterior equivalent to  $\alpha$ .

### Theorem (Pedersen)

Two actions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of G on A are exterior equivalent if and only if there is an isomorphism  $\theta: (A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha}) \stackrel{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} (A \rtimes_{\beta} G, \widehat{\beta})$  such that  $\theta \circ i_{A}^{\alpha} = i_{A}^{\beta}$ .

### Escape from A

#### Definition

Actions  $(A, \alpha)$  and  $(B, \beta)$  of G are outer conjugate if there is an action  $\gamma$  exterior equivalent to  $\beta$  such that  $(A, \alpha) \simeq (B, \gamma)$ .

### Theorem (Pedersen (+ KOQ))

Two actions  $(A, \alpha)$  and  $(B, \beta)$  of G are outer conjugate if and only if there is an isomorphism  $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha}) \simeq (B \rtimes_{\beta} G, \widehat{\beta})$  taking  $\operatorname{Fix}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha}, i_{G}^{\alpha})$  to  $\operatorname{Fix}(B \rtimes_{\beta} G, \widehat{\beta}, i_{G}^{\beta})$ .

So, Pedersen says we can recover the action  $(A, \alpha)$  of G up to outer conjugacy if we know the dual action  $(C, \gamma)$  of  $\widehat{G}$  and the generalized fixed-point algebra  $\operatorname{Fix}(C, \gamma, \nu)$ , but perhaps not the homomorphism  $\nu: G \to M(C)$  itself.

# So the dual action really isn't enough?

If we knew anything about outer conjugacy, we should be able to find examples of the following: actions  $(A, \alpha)$  and  $(B, \beta)$  of G such that

- ②  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are not outer conjugate.

Equivalently, by Pedersen's theorem we want there to exist an isomorphism between the dual actions  $\widehat{\alpha}$  and  $\widehat{\beta}$ , but not one that preserves the generalized fixed-point algebras.

### But it's too hard...

Somehow irritating, we are frustrated by our inability to find any examples of this phenomenon.



### No-go theorems

We know that there are no examples in any of the following cases:

- G is discrete
- A and B are stable
- A and B are commutative
- $\bullet$   $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is inner
- **5** G is compact, and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are faithful and ergodic
- **1** A and B are continuous trace and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are locally unitary

#### Question

Can No-Go Theorem 6 be extended to pointwise unitary actions?



## Pedersen Rigidity Problem



#### Question

If  $(A, \alpha)$  and  $(B, \beta)$  are actions of G such that  $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \widehat{\alpha}) \simeq (B \rtimes_{\beta} G, \widehat{\beta})$ , are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  outer conjugate?

We call this the "Pedersen Rigidity Problem", because an affirmative answer would mean that Pedersen's condition, namely that the isomorphism preserves the generalized fixed-point algebras, is superfluous. The No-Go Theorems are evidence hinting at an affirmative answer.