

MATH10040

Chapter 5: Fermat's 'Little' Theorem

1. CANCELLATION IN ARITHMETIC MODULO m .

Recall that, in school algebra, if a is a nonzero integer and if $ar = as$ then we deduce that $r = s$ (we 'cancel' the as on both sides). The proof of this law is: divide both sides by a , or equivalently, multiply both sides by (the rational number) $1/a$.

In arithmetic modulo m this argument does not work: even if $a \not\equiv 0$ we cannot generally 'divide by a '.

Example 1.1. $a = 10 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. We have $10 \cdot 5 \equiv 2 \equiv 10 \cdot 11 \pmod{12}$ but $5 \not\equiv 11 \pmod{12}$. So we cannot divide by 10, or 'cancel' 10, in this congruence.

However, if $(a, m) = 1$, we can essentially 'divide by a ' in modulo m arithmetic. (Observe that $(10, 12) = 2$.)

Recall that if $m \geq 1$ and if $(a, m) = 1$ then the congruence $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is always solvable. (In practice, we can use Euclid's algorithm to find a solution b . Then the general solution is the congruence class of b modulo m ; i.e. the set $\{b + mt \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.)

Lemma 1.2 (Cancellation in congruences). *Suppose that $(a, m) = 1$ and $ar \equiv as \pmod{m}$. Then $r \equiv s \pmod{m}$.*

Proof. Since $(a, m) = 1$ there exists $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. (The point, as we shall see, is that such a b plays the role of $1/a$ or a^{-1} in arithmetic modulo m .)

Now suppose that $ar \equiv as \pmod{m}$. Then $b(ar) \equiv b(as) \pmod{m}$. But $b(ar) = (ab) \cdot r \equiv 1 \cdot r \equiv r \pmod{m}$. Similarly $b(as) \equiv s \pmod{m}$. Thus $r \equiv s \pmod{m}$. \square

2. THE ORDER OF a MODULO m

We have seen that it is often useful, when calculating modulo m with large powers of a , to find some $n \geq 1$ such that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ – i.e. to find a solution b of $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ which is itself a power of a .

Can we always do this?

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $(a, m) = 1$. Then there exists an integer n satisfying $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $1 \leq n \leq m$.*

Proof. Consider the list of integers $a^0, a^1, \dots, a^{m-1}, a^m$. There are $m + 1$ integers in this list and each has remainder in the set $\{0, \dots, m - 1\}$. Since there are only m possible remainders, some two of these $m + 1$ numbers must have the same remainder on division by m .

Thus there exists r, s with $0 \leq r < s \leq m$ such that a^r and a^s have the same remainder on division by m ; i.e.

$$a^r \equiv a^s \pmod{m}.$$

Let $n = s - r$. So $s = r + n$. Therefore

$$a^r \equiv a^r \cdot a^n \pmod{m}.$$

Since $(a^r, m) = 1$, we can ‘cancel’ a^r on both sides, by Lemma 1.2. Thus

$$a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

□

Definition 2.2. Suppose that $(a, m) = 1$. The order of a modulo m is the smallest positive integer d with the property that $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Example 2.3. The order of 3 modulo 80 is 4 since $3^4 = 81 \equiv 1 \pmod{80}$ but $3^1, 3^2, 3^3 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{80}$. Here is a table of powers of 3 modulo 80:

| n | $R_{80}(3^n)$ |
|----------|---------------|
| 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 9 |
| 3 | 27 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 3 |
| 6 | 9 |
| 7 | 27 |
| 8 | 1 |
| 9 | 3 |
| \vdots | \vdots |

Notice that the numbers on the right repeat themselves in cycles of length 4. This is no coincidence.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $(a, m) = 1$ and let $d \geq 1$ be the order of a modulo m . Then $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ if and only if $d|n$.

Proof. Recall that $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $a^r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ if $1 \leq r < d$.

First suppose that $d|n$. Then $n = dt$ for some integer t and hence

$$a^n = (a^d)^t \equiv 1^t \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Conversely, suppose that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We must prove that $d|n$. By the division algorithm, $n = dt + r$ for some $t, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < d$. We must prove that $r = 0$:

Now

$$a^n = (a^d)^t \cdot a^r \equiv 1 \cdot a^r \equiv a^r \pmod{m}$$

and hence

$$a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Since $r < d$ this forces $r = 0$ by the minimality of d . \square

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that $(a, m) = 1$ and let $d \geq 1$ be the order of a modulo m . Then for any integers r and s*

$$a^r \equiv a^s \pmod{m} \iff r \equiv s \pmod{d}.$$

Proof. We will assume, without loss of generality, that $r \leq s$.

Suppose that $s \equiv r \pmod{d}$. Then $s = r + dt$ for some $t \geq 0$. So

$$a^s = a^r \cdot (a^d)^t \equiv a^r \cdot 1 \equiv a^r \pmod{m}.$$

Conversely suppose that $a^r \equiv a^s \pmod{m}$. We must prove that $d|s - r$.

Let $n = s - r$. Then by Lemma 1.2 from $a^r \equiv a^r \cdot a^n \pmod{m}$ we deduce $1 \equiv a^n \pmod{m}$ and hence that $d|n$ by Theorem 2.4. \square

Remark 2.6. *Note that the corollary says that the powers of a repeat modulo m in cycles of length d . This is precisely what we observed of the powers of 3 modulo 80 (where $d = 4$ in that case).*

Example 2.7. *What is the order of 2 modulo 33?*

Solution: *Let d be the order of 2 modulo 33.*

We have $2^5 = 32 \equiv -1 \pmod{33}$. Thus $2^{10} \equiv (-1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$.

It follows from Theorem 2.4 that $d|10$. Thus $d = 1, 2, 5$ or 10 . But $2, 2^2, 2^5 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{33}$. Thus $d = 10$.

It follows, from Theorem 2.4 again, that

$$2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{33} \iff 10|n.$$

Example 2.8. *The order of 7 modulo 10 is 4. (Check this!)*

The powers of 7 modulo 10 repeat in cycles of length 4:

| n | $R_{10}(7^n)$ |
|----------|---------------|
| 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 2 | 9 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 7 |
| 6 | 9 |
| 7 | 3 |
| 8 | 1 |
| 9 | 7 |
| \vdots | \vdots |

Q: What is the last digit of 7^{83} ?

A: Since $83 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $7^{83} \equiv 7^3 \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$.

3. FERMAT'S LITTLE THEOREM

Remark 3.1. Recall (Homework 5, problem 9 (b)) that if p is a prime number then $\binom{p}{m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if $1 \leq m \leq p-1$.

Proposition 3.2. Let p be a prime number. Then $n^p \equiv n \pmod{p}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. We'll prove this by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is clear since $1^p = 1$.

Suppose the result has been proved for some $n \geq 1$. Then

$$(n+1)^p = n^p + \binom{p}{1}n^{p-1} + \cdots + \binom{p}{m}n^m + \cdots + \binom{p}{p-1}n + 1$$

by the Binomial Theorem

$$\equiv n^p + 0 + \cdots + 0 + 1 \pmod{p} \quad (\text{see remark above})$$

$$\equiv n + 1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{by ind. hyp..}$$

□

Theorem 3.3 (Fermat's Little Theorem). Let p be a prime and a any integer not divisible by p . Then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

Proof. Replacing a , if necessary, by a positive number which is congruent to it modulo p , we can assume that $a \geq 1$. By Proposition 3.2, we have $a \cdot a^{p-1} \equiv a \cdot 1 \pmod{p}$. Since $(a, p) = 1$, we deduce that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ by Lemma 1.2. □

Combining Fermat's Little Theorem with Theorem 2.4 we deduce:

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that p is prime and that $p \nmid a$. Let d be the order of a modulo p . Then $d \mid p - 1$; i.e. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$.*

Example 3.5. *Find the order of 10 modulo 29.*

Solution: *Let d be the order of 10 modulo 29.*

29 is prime, so $d \mid 29 - 1 = 28$. Thus $d = 1, 2, 4, 7, 14$ or 28.

We eliminate all but the last:

$$10^2 = 4 \cdot 25 \equiv 4 \cdot (-4) \equiv -16 \pmod{29} : \quad d \neq 2.$$

$$10^3 \equiv 10 \cdot -16 \equiv -5 \cdot 32 \equiv -5 \cdot 3 \equiv -15 \pmod{29}.$$

$$10^4 \equiv 16^2 \equiv 32 \cdot 8 \equiv 3 \cdot 8 \equiv 24 \equiv -5 \pmod{29} : \quad d \neq 4$$

$$10^7 = 10^4 \cdot 10^3 \equiv -5 \cdot -15 \equiv 25 \cdot 3 \equiv -4 \cdot 3 \equiv -12 \pmod{29} : \quad d \neq 7.$$

$$10^{14} \equiv 12^2 \equiv 36 \cdot 4 \equiv 7 \cdot 4 \equiv 28 \equiv -1 \pmod{29} : \quad d \neq 14.$$

Thus $d = 28$.

Thus

$$29 \mid 10^{28} - 1 = \underbrace{99 \cdots 99}_{28}$$

but

$$29 \nmid \underbrace{99 \cdots 99}_n \quad \text{if } n < 28.$$

Example 3.6. *Is $2^{32} + 1 = 4294967297$ prime?*

Solution: *If $2^{32} + 1$ is not prime, then it is divisible by a prime $p < \lfloor \sqrt{2^{32} + 1} \rfloor = 2^{16} = 65536$.*

We can use Fermat's Little Theorem to eliminate most primes from consideration, as follows:

Let p be a prime number dividing $2^{32} + 1$. Then $2^{32} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and hence

$$2^{64} \equiv (-1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Let d be the order of 2 modulo p . Then $d \mid 64$. Thus $d = 2^r$ for some $r \leq 6$. But if $r < 6$ then $d \mid 32$ and hence $2^{32} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, a contradiction. Thus $r = 6$ and $d = 64$.

It follows by Corollary 3.4 that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{64}$. Thus any prime divisor of $2^{32} + 1$ must be of the form $64n + 1$ for some $n \geq 1$. The first few such primes are:

$$193, 449, 577, 641, \dots$$

We test each of these primes in turn and discover that $641 \mid 2^{32} + 1$ (in fact $2^{32} + 1 = 641 \cdot 6700417$, both factors are primes) to see that $2^{32} + 1$ is not prime.

Example 3.7. Is $2^{11} - 1 = 2047$ prime?

Solution: Let p be a prime divisor of $N = 2^{11} - 1$. Then $2^{11} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Thus the order of 2 modulo p is 11. So p is the form $11n + 1$. The smallest such prime is $23 = 11 \cdot 2 + 1$. Dividing, we find $2047 = 23 \cdot 89$. So it is composite.

Remark 3.8. Fermat's Little Theorem fails in general if the modulus is composite; i.e. If n is composite and if $(a, n) = 1$ then it is not usually the case that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

For example, take $n = 9$ and $a = 2$. Then

$$2^3 \equiv -1 \pmod{9} \implies 2^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{9} \implies 2^8 \equiv 2^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

There is however, an extension of Fermat's Little Theorem, due to Euler, which applies to composite as well as prime moduli:

There is a function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (the 'Euler phi-function') with the property that $\phi(p) = p - 1$ when p is prime and for all $m > 1$ and a with $(a, m) = 1$ we have

$$a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

In fact $\phi(9) = 6$. So Euler's Theorem tells us that $a^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ if $3 \nmid a$.

In practice, ϕ can be calculated from the following two facts:

- (1) If p is a prime number, then $\phi(p^n) = p^{n-1}(p - 1)$.
- (2) If $(n, m) = 1$ then $\phi(nm) = \phi(n) \cdot \phi(m)$.

For example, $\phi(100) = \phi(4)\phi(25) = (2 \cdot (2 - 1)) \cdot (5 \cdot (5 - 1)) = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 = 40$.

Example 3.9. Testing for primality

Fermat's Little Theorem is the basis for testing whether a number is prime or not. If $p > 2$ is a prime number, Fermat's Theorem tells us that $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. It follows that if n is any odd number and if $2^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ then n must be composite. In this way, we can often determine that a number is composite without finding any factors. Indeed, there are examples of very large numbers which are known to be composite using this test, but for which factors cannot be found with existing computational methods.

As a toy example: Suppose that we want to determine that 527 is composite without factoring. Easy (but tedious) calculations show that $2^{40} \equiv 1 \pmod{527}$. Since $526 = 40 \cdot 13 + 6$ this gives

$$2^{256} \equiv (2^{40})^{13} \cdot 2^6 \equiv 2^6 \equiv 64 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{527}.$$

So 527 is composite. (In fact $527 = 17 \cdot 31$.)

Example 3.10. Use Fermat's Little Theorem to prove that $385|n^{60} - 1$ if $5, 7, 11 \nmid n$.

Solution: Note that $385 = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. We wish to prove that if $5, 7, 11 \nmid n$ then

$$n^{60} \equiv 1 \pmod{385}.$$

Since $5, 7, 11$ are pairwise relatively prime this is equivalent to showing that

$$n^{60} \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \text{ and } n^{60} \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \text{ and } n^{60} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$$

By Fermat's Little Theorem, $n^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, and since $4|60$ it follows that $n^{60} \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$.

Similarly, by Fermat's Little Theorem, $n^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, and since $6|60$ it follows that $n^{60} \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$.

Finally, by Fermat's Little Theorem, $n^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, and since $10|60$ it follows that $n^{60} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$.

Using the same idea as in this last example we prove the following:

Lemma 3.11. Let p, q be two (distinct) odd primes. Suppose that $p, q \nmid a$. Then

$$a^{\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}.$$

Proof. Let $m := \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}$.

Since $(p, q) = 1$ we just need to prove that

$$a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \text{ and } a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{q}.$$

Since q is odd, $q - 1$ is even and hence $\frac{q-1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $p - 1 \mid \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2} = m$. But $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ by Fermat. Hence $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Similarly (exchanging the roles of p and q) $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. □