

M.Sc. in Meteorology

Synoptic Meteorology

[MAPH P312]

Prof Peter Lynch

Second Semester, 2004–2005

Seminar Room

Dept. of Maths. Physics, UCD, Belfield.

Part 8

The Quasigeostrophic System

These lectures follow closely the text of Holton (Chapter 6).

The Quasi-Geostrophic Equations

The Quasi-Geostrophic Equations

We will derive a system of equations suitable for qualitative analysis of mid-latitude weather systems.

The Quasi-Geostrophic Equations

We will derive a system of equations suitable for qualitative analysis of mid-latitude weather systems.

We will assume that the motion is hydrostatically balanced and approximately in geostrophic balance.

The Quasi-Geostrophic Equations

We will derive a system of equations suitable for qualitative analysis of mid-latitude weather systems.

We will assume that the motion is hydrostatically balanced and approximately in geostrophic balance.

In that case, the three-dimensional flow is determined by the **pressure field**.

The Quasi-Geostrophic Equations

We will derive a system of equations suitable for qualitative analysis of mid-latitude weather systems.

We will assume that the motion is hydrostatically balanced and approximately in geostrophic balance.

In that case, the three-dimensional flow is determined by the **pressure field**.

Since meteorological measurements are generally referred to constant pressure surfaces and since the equations are simpler in pressure coordinates than in height coordinates, we will use pressure as the vertical variable.

The Quasi-Geostrophic Equations

We will derive a system of equations suitable for qualitative analysis of mid-latitude weather systems.

We will assume that the motion is hydrostatically balanced and approximately in geostrophic balance.

In that case, the three-dimensional flow is determined by the **pressure field**.

Since meteorological measurements are generally referred to constant pressure surfaces and since the equations are simpler in pressure coordinates than in height coordinates, we will use pressure as the vertical variable.

In that case, the three-dimensional flow is determined by the **geopotential field**.

The Primitive Equations

The Primitive Equations

The dynamical equations in pressure coordinates are

$$\frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} + f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial p} = -\frac{RT}{p}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} + \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial p} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla\right) T - S\omega = \frac{\dot{Q}}{c_p}$$

The Primitive Equations

The dynamical equations in pressure coordinates are

$$\frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} + f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial p} = -\frac{RT}{p}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} + \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial p} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla\right) T - S\omega = \frac{\dot{Q}}{c_p}$$

Here the total time derivative is

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla)_p + \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$$

Notation:

Notation:

The vertical velocity is $\omega = dp/dt$,

Notation:

The vertical velocity is $\omega = dp/dt$,

$S = -T\partial \ln \theta / \partial p$ is the static stability parameter The typical scale of S is about $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ K Pa}^{-1}$ in mid-troposphere).

Notation:

The vertical velocity is $\omega = dp/dt$,

$S = -T \partial \ln \theta / \partial p$ is the static stability parameter (The typical scale of S is about $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ K Pa}^{-1}$ in mid-troposphere).

The primitive equations will now be simplified based on the assumption that the flow is close to geostrophic balance and the vertical velocity is much smaller than the horizontal.

The Momentum Equation

The Momentum Equation

We first partition the horizontal component of the wind into geostrophic and ageostrophic parts

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_g + \mathbf{V}_a$$

with the geostrophic wind defined by

$$\mathbf{V}_g = \frac{1}{f_0} \mathbf{k} \times \nabla \Phi$$

The Momentum Equation

We first partition the horizontal component of the wind into geostrophic and ageostrophic parts

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_g + \mathbf{V}_a$$

with the geostrophic wind defined by

$$\mathbf{V}_g = \frac{1}{f_0} \mathbf{k} \times \nabla \Phi$$

In component form this is

$$u_g = -\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}, \quad v_g = +\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}.$$

The Momentum Equation

We first partition the horizontal component of the wind into geostrophic and ageostrophic parts

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_g + \mathbf{V}_a$$

with the geostrophic wind defined by

$$\mathbf{V}_g = \frac{1}{f_0} \mathbf{k} \times \nabla \Phi$$

In component form this is

$$u_g = -\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}, \quad v_g = +\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}.$$

We take a constant “central” value f_0 of the Coriolis parameter here. This is consistent with the assumption that the horizontal scale L of the motion is small compared to the Earth’s radius, $L \ll a$.

We note also that the geostrophic divergence vanishes:

$$\delta_g = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_g = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) = 0$$

We note also that the geostrophic divergence vanishes:

$$\delta_g = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_g = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) = 0$$

The continuity equation may now be written

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_a + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} = 0$$

This implies that ω is determined by the ageostrophic component of the wind.

We note also that the geostrophic divergence vanishes:

$$\delta_g = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_g = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) = 0$$

The continuity equation may now be written

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_a + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} = 0$$

This implies that ω is determined by the ageostrophic component of the wind.

The geostrophic vorticity is given by

$$\zeta_g = \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{V}_g = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(-\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{1}{f_0} \nabla^2 \Phi$$

so that ζ_g is determined once Φ is given.

We note also that the geostrophic divergence vanishes:

$$\delta_g = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_g = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) = 0$$

The continuity equation may now be written

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_a + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} = 0$$

This implies that ω is determined by the ageostrophic component of the wind.

The geostrophic vorticity is given by

$$\zeta_g = \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{V}_g = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(-\frac{1}{f_0} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{1}{f_0} \nabla^2 \Phi$$

so that ζ_g is determined once Φ is given.

Moreover, if ζ_g is given, the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = f_0 \zeta_g$$

may be solved for the geopotential. Then \mathbf{V}_g follows immediately.

Scale Analysis

Scale Analysis

We can introduce characteristic scales for the motion. Thus, L is the typical horizontal scale, H the vertical scale and $T = L/V$ the advective time scale.

Scale Analysis

We can introduce characteristic scales for the motion. Thus, L is the typical horizontal scale, H the vertical scale and $T = L/V$ the advective time scale.

Then the size of the advection relative to the Coriolis term is

$$\frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}}{f \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}} \sim \frac{V}{f_0 L} \equiv \text{Ro}$$

where Ro is the *Rossby Number*.

Scale Analysis

We can introduce characteristic scales for the motion. Thus, L is the typical horizontal scale, H the vertical scale and $T = L/V$ the advective time scale.

Then the size of the advection relative to the Coriolis term is

$$\frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}}{f \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}} \sim \frac{V}{f_0 L} \equiv \text{Ro}$$

where Ro is the *Rossby Number*.

For the systems of interest $|\mathbf{V}_a| \ll |\mathbf{V}_g|$ or, more specifically,

$$\frac{|\mathbf{V}_a|}{|\mathbf{V}_g|} \sim \text{Ro}$$

Scale Analysis

We can introduce characteristic scales for the motion. Thus, L is the typical horizontal scale, H the vertical scale and $T = L/V$ the advective time scale.

Then the size of the advection relative to the Coriolis term is

$$\frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}}{f \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}} \sim \frac{V}{f_0 L} \equiv \text{Ro}$$

where Ro is the *Rossby Number*.

For the systems of interest $|\mathbf{V}_a| \ll |\mathbf{V}_g|$ or, more specifically,

$$\frac{|\mathbf{V}_a|}{|\mathbf{V}_g|} \sim \text{Ro}$$

We can then replace the velocity by its geostrophic component, and ignore the vertical advection in the total time derivative:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} \approx \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)_g \mathbf{V}_g = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{V}_g$$

Exercise: Using the vector relationship $\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{V} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{k}$ and the definition $\mathbf{V}_g = (1/f_0)\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Phi$, derive the above expression for the geostrophic vorticity.

★

★

★

Exercise: Using the vector relationship $\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{V} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{k}$ and the definition $\mathbf{V}_g = (1/f_0)\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Phi$, derive the above expression for the geostrophic vorticity.

★ ★ ★

We wish to retain the variation of the Coriolis parameter with latitude $y = a(\phi - \phi_0)$ as it has important dynamical consequences.

Exercise: Using the vector relationship $\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{V} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{k}$ and the definition $\mathbf{V}_g = (1/f_0)\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Phi$, derive the above expression for the geostrophic vorticity.

★ ★ ★

We wish to retain the variation of the Coriolis parameter with latitude $y = a(\phi - \phi_0)$ as it has important dynamical consequences.

Expanding in a Taylor series, we write the first two terms

$$f = f_0 + \beta y$$

where $\beta = (df/dy)_0 = 2\Omega \cos \phi_0/a$ with $y = 0$ at $\phi = \phi_0$.

Exercise: Using the vector relationship $\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{V} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{k}$ and the definition $\mathbf{V}_g = (1/f_0)\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Phi$, derive the above expression for the geostrophic vorticity.

★ ★ ★

We wish to retain the variation of the Coriolis parameter with latitude $y = a(\phi - \phi_0)$ as it has important dynamical consequences.

Expanding in a Taylor series, we write the first two terms

$$f = f_0 + \beta y$$

where $\beta = (df/dy)_0 = 2\Omega \cos \phi_0/a$ with $y = 0$ at $\phi = \phi_0$.

This is the *mid-latitude β -plane* approximation.

Exercise: Using the vector relationship $\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{V} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{k}$ and the definition $\mathbf{V}_g = (1/f_0)\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Phi$, derive the above expression for the geostrophic vorticity.

★ ★ ★

We wish to retain the variation of the Coriolis parameter with latitude $y = a(\phi - \phi_0)$ as it has important dynamical consequences.

Expanding in a Taylor series, we write the first two terms

$$f = f_0 + \beta y$$

where $\beta = (df/dy)_0 = 2\Omega \cos \phi_0/a$ with $y = 0$ at $\phi = \phi_0$.

This is the *mid-latitude β -plane* approximation.

The ratio of the two terms is

$$\frac{\beta y}{f_0} \sim \frac{\cos \phi_0 L}{\sin \phi_0 a} \sim \frac{L}{a} \sim \mathbf{Ro} \ll 1$$

We now consider the Coriolis and pressure gradient terms

$$f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi$$

The term omitted is $O(\text{Ro})$.

We now consider the Coriolis and pressure gradient terms

$$f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi$$

The term omitted is $O(\text{Ro})$.

Expanding in geostrophic and ageostrophic parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi &= (f_0 + \beta y)\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{V}_g + \mathbf{V}_a) - f_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g \\ &\approx f_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_a + \beta y \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the Coriolis and pressure gradient terms

$$f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi$$

The term omitted is $O(\text{Ro})$.

Expanding in geostrophic and ageostrophic parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi &= (f_0 + \beta y)\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{V}_g + \mathbf{V}_a) - f_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g \\ &\approx f_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_a + \beta y\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g \end{aligned}$$

The horizontal momentum equation may now be written

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_g \mathbf{V}_g + f_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_a + \beta y\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g = 0$$

We now consider the Coriolis and pressure gradient terms

$$f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi$$

The term omitted is $O(\text{Ro})$.

Expanding in geostrophic and ageostrophic parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V} + \nabla\Phi &= (f_0 + \beta y)\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{V}_g + \mathbf{V}_a) - f_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g \\ &\approx f_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_a + \beta y\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g \end{aligned}$$

The horizontal momentum equation may now be written

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_g \mathbf{V}_g + f_0\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_a + \beta y\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g = 0$$

All the terms here are $O(\text{Ro})$ and neglected terms are $O(\text{Ro}^2)$.

The Thermodynamic Equation

The Thermodynamic Equation

Recall that the *vertical advection of temperature* is included in the $S\omega$ term (Holton, Eq. (3.6), p. 59).

The Thermodynamic Equation

Recall that the *vertical advection of temperature* is included in the $S\omega$ term (Holton, Eq. (3.6), p. 59).

We can use the geostrophic wind in the expression for horizontal advection.

The Thermodynamic Equation

Recall that the *vertical advection of temperature* is included in the $S\omega$ term (Holton, Eq. (3.6), p. 59).

We can use the geostrophic wind in the expression for horizontal advection.

Moreover, we separate the temperature field into a basic part varying only in the vertical and a part depending on all coordinates and time:

$$T = T_0(p) + T'(x, y, p, t)$$

The Thermodynamic Equation

Recall that the *vertical advection of temperature* is included in the $S\omega$ term (Holton, Eq. (3.6), p. 59).

We can use the geostrophic wind in the expression for horizontal advection.

Moreover, we separate the temperature field into a basic part varying only in the vertical and a part depending on all coordinates and time:

$$T = T_0(p) + T'(x, y, p, t)$$

We can replace T by T_0 and θ by θ_0 in evaluating the static stability:

$$S \equiv -T \frac{\partial \ln \theta}{\partial p}, \quad S_0 \equiv -T_0 \frac{d \ln \theta_0}{dp}, \quad S \approx S_0$$

The Thermodynamic Equation

Recall that the *vertical advection of temperature* is included in the $S\omega$ term (Holton, Eq. (3.6), p. 59).

We can use the geostrophic wind in the expression for horizontal advection.

Moreover, we separate the temperature field into a basic part varying only in the vertical and a part depending on all coordinates and time:

$$T = T_0(p) + T'(x, y, p, t)$$

We can replace T by T_0 and θ by θ_0 in evaluating the static stability:

$$S \equiv -T \frac{\partial \ln \theta}{\partial p}, \quad S_0 \equiv -T_0 \frac{d \ln \theta_0}{dp}, \quad S \approx S_0$$

Note that S_0 depends only on pressure p .

The temperature may be given in terms of the geopotential by means of the hydrostatic equation

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{\rho} = -\frac{RT}{p}$$

The temperature may be given in terms of the geopotential by means of the hydrostatic equation

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{\rho} = -\frac{RT}{p}$$

Then the thermodynamic equation becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} \right) + \sigma \omega = -\frac{\kappa \dot{Q}}{p}$$

where $\kappa = R/c_p$ and σ is another measure of static stability:

$$\sigma \equiv \frac{R}{p} S_0 = -\frac{RT_0}{p} \frac{d \ln \theta_0}{dp}$$

The temperature may be given in terms of the geopotential by means of the hydrostatic equation

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{\rho} = -\frac{RT}{p}$$

Then the thermodynamic equation becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} \right) + \sigma \omega = -\frac{\kappa \dot{Q}}{p}$$

where $\kappa = R/c_p$ and σ is another measure of static stability:

$$\sigma \equiv \frac{R}{p} S_0 = -\frac{RT_0}{p} \frac{d \ln \theta_0}{dp}$$

The scale of σ in the mid-troposphere is $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{Pa}^{-2} \text{s}^{-2}$.

The temperature may be given in terms of the geopotential by means of the hydrostatic equation

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{\rho} = -\frac{RT}{p}$$

Then the thermodynamic equation becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} \right) + \sigma \omega = -\frac{\kappa \dot{Q}}{p}$$

where $\kappa = R/c_p$ and σ is another measure of static stability:

$$\sigma \equiv \frac{R}{p} S_0 = -\frac{RT_0}{p} \frac{d \ln \theta_0}{dp}$$

The scale of σ in the mid-troposphere is $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{Pa}^{-2} \text{s}^{-2}$.

Although σ varies with height, *we will assume that it is a constant*. This simplifies the analysis.

The Quasigeostrophic Equations

The Quasigeostrophic Equations

The complete system of Quasigeostrophic Equations is:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{V}_g + f_0 \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_a + \beta y \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} \right) + \sigma \omega = - \frac{\kappa \dot{Q}}{p}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_a + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{V}_g = \frac{1}{f_0} \mathbf{k} \times \nabla \Phi$$

The Quasigeostrophic Equations

The complete system of Quasigeostrophic Equations is:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{V}_g + f_0 \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_a + \beta y \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} \right) + \sigma \omega = - \frac{\kappa \dot{Q}}{p}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_a + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{V}_g = \frac{1}{f_0} \mathbf{k} \times \nabla \Phi$$

These 4 equations (6 scalar equations) form a complete system for the variables Φ , \mathbf{V}_g , \mathbf{V}_a and ω (6 scalar variables).

The Quasigeostrophic Equations

The complete system of Quasigeostrophic Equations is:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{V}_g + f_0 \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_a + \beta y \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_g = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_g \cdot \nabla \right) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial p} \right) + \sigma \omega = -\frac{\kappa \dot{Q}}{p}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_a + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{V}_g = \frac{1}{f_0} \mathbf{k} \times \nabla \Phi$$

These 4 equations (6 scalar equations) form a complete system for the variables Φ , \mathbf{V}_g , \mathbf{V}_a and ω (6 scalar variables).

However, they are not in a form convenient for prediction. For this purpose, we derive an equation for the **geostrophic vorticity**.