

A q -MULTISUM IDENTITY ARISING FROM FINITE CHAIN RING PROBABILITIES

JEHANNE DOUSSE AND ROBERT OSBURN

ABSTRACT. In this note, we prove a general identity between a q -multisum $B_N(q)$ and a sum of N^2 products of quotients of theta functions. The q -multisum $B_N(q)$ recently arose in the computation of a probability involving modules over finite chain rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Probabilistic proofs of classical q -series identities constitute an intriguing part of the literature in combinatorics. A prominent example of this perspective concerns the Andrews-Gordon identities [1, 8] which state for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k \geq 2$

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q)_{n_1} \cdots (q)_{n_k}} = \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq 0, \pm i \pmod{2k+1}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^s}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $N_j = n_j + \dots + n_{k-1}$. Here and throughout, we use the standard q -hypergeometric (or “ q -Pochhammer symbol”) notation

$$(a)_n = (a; q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k),$$

valid for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. In [7], Fulman uses a Markov chain on the nonnegative integers to prove the extreme cases $i = 1$ and $i = k$ of (1.1). Chapman [3] cleverly extends Fulman’s methods to prove (1.1) in full generality. In [4], Cohen explicitly computes probability laws of p^ℓ -ranks of finite abelian groups to give a group-theoretic proof of (1.1). For a generalization of this computation, see [5]. In this note, we are interested in a recent probability computation with a ring-theoretic flavor as it leads to an expression similar to the left-hand side of (1.1).

Let \mathcal{R} be a finite chain ring with radical \mathcal{N} , q be the order of the residue field \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N} and N be the index of nilpotency of \mathcal{N} (for further details, see Section 2 in [10]). Recently, the authors of [2] expressed the density $\psi(n, k, q, N)$ of free submodules \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{R}^n (over \mathcal{R}) of type $k := \log_{|\mathcal{R}|}(|\mathcal{M}|)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as the reciprocal of the q -multisum (replacing $1/q$ in their notation with q)

Date: November 22, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16P10, 16P70, 33D15.

Key words and phrases. Finite chain ring, Hecke-type series, Appell-Lerch sums, q -multisum identity.

$$B_N(q) := \sum_{\substack{K_2, \dots, K_N \geq 0 \\ N|K_2 + \dots + K_N}} \frac{q^{K_2^2 + \dots + K_N^2 - (K_2 + \dots + K_N)^2/N}}{(q)_{k_2} \cdots (q)_{k_N}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $N \geq 2$ is an integer and $K_i = \sum_{j=2}^i k_j$. Upper and lower bounds for $B_N(q)$ are obtained and then used to show (under suitable conditions) that $\psi(n, k, q, N)$ is at least $1 - \epsilon$ where $0 < \epsilon < 1$ (see Theorems 7 and 9, respectively, in [2]). Moreover, we have

$$B_2(q) = \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5 \pmod{16}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^s}, \quad (1.3)$$

which is (S.83) in [13]. In view of (1.1) and (1.3), the authors in [2] posed the following (slightly rewritten) problem.

Problem 1.1. *Determine whether $B_N(q)$ can be expressed as a product of q -Pochhammer symbols.*

The purpose of this note is to solve Problem 1.1. It turns out that the solution is slightly more involved than either (1.1) or (1.3), namely $B_N(q)$ is a sum of N^2 products of quotients of theta functions (but not a single product of q -Pochhammer symbols, for general N). Before stating our main result, we recall some further standard notation:

$$\begin{aligned} j(x; q) &:= (x)_{\infty} (q/x)_{\infty} (q)_{\infty}, \\ j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; q) &:= j(x_1; q) j(x_2; q) \cdots j(x_n; q), \\ J_{a,m} &:= j(q^a; q^m), \\ \bar{J}_{a,m} &:= j(-q^a; q^m), \\ J_m &:= J_{m,3m} = (q^m; q^m)_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these quantities are products of q -Pochhammer symbols. Our main result is now the following.

Theorem 1.2. *For all $N \geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} B_N(q) &= \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}^2 \bar{J}_{0, N(N+2)}} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{r+s+1} q^{\binom{r}{2} + \binom{s+1}{2} + r(s+1)(N+1) + r+s+1} J_{N^2(N+2)}^3}{j((-1)^N q^{N(N+2)r + N(N+3)/2}; q^{N^2(N+2)})} \\ &\quad \times \frac{j(-q^{N(s-r)}; q^{N^2}) j(q^{N(N+2)(r+s) + N(N+3)}; q^{N^2(N+2)})}{j((-1)^N q^{N(N+2)s + N(N+3)/2}; q^{N^2(N+2)})}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Formula (1.4) is very efficient in practice for computing explicit values of $B_N(q)$. Indeed, contrarily to (1.2) which requires computing a $(N-1)$ -fold sum, (1.4) only involves a double sum. As a comparison with Table 1 in [2], we compute $B_N(q)$ for $2 \leq N \leq 10$ and $N = 100$ and $1/q = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$ to five decimals with Maple using (1.4). Table 1 below suggests that when $q \rightarrow 0$, the limiting value of $B_N(q)$ is 1. This statement is confirmed in [2, Corollary 11, (1)].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall one of the main results from [14], then prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 3, we make some concluding remarks.

$N \setminus 1/q$	2	3	5	7	11
2	0.59546	0.84191	0.95049	0.97627	0.99092
3	0.47084	0.79666	0.94102	0.97295	0.99010
4	0.42109	0.78230	0.93915	0.97248	0.99002
5	0.39877	0.77759	0.93877	0.97241	0.99002
6	0.38819	0.77603	0.93870	0.97240	0.99002
7	0.38304	0.77551	0.93868	0.97240	0.99002
8	0.38050	0.77533	0.93868	0.97240	0.99002
9	0.37924	0.77528	0.93868	0.97240	0.99002
10	0.37861	0.77526	0.93868	0.97240	0.99002
100	0.37798	0.77525	0.93868	0.97240	0.99002
$(q)_\infty$	0.28879	0.56013	0.76033	0.83680	0.90083

TABLE 1. Values of $B_N(q)$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Before the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need to recall some background from the important work of Hickerson and Mortenson [14]. First, we employ the Hecke-type series

$$f_{a,b,c}(x, y, q) := \left(\sum_{r,s \geq 0} - \sum_{r,s < 0} \right) (-1)^{r+s} x^r y^s q^{a \binom{r}{2} + b r s + c \binom{s}{2}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Next, consider the Appell-Lerch series

$$m(x, q, z) := \frac{1}{j(z; q)} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} z^r}{1 - q^{r-1} x z}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $x, z \in \mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with neither z nor xz an integral power of q in order to avoid poles. One of the main results in [14] expresses (2.1) in terms of (2.2). Let

$$\begin{aligned} g_{a,b,c}(x, y, q, z_1, z_0) &:= \sum_{t=0}^{a-1} (-y)^t q^{c \binom{t}{2}} j(q^{bt} x; q^a) m\left(-q^{a \binom{b+1}{2} - c \binom{a+1}{2} - t(b^2 - ac)} \frac{(-y)^a}{(-x)^b}, q^{a(b^2 - ac)}, z_0\right) \\ &+ \sum_{t=0}^{c-1} (-x)^t q^{a \binom{t}{2}} j(q^{bt} y; q^c) m\left(-q^{c \binom{b+1}{2} - a \binom{c+1}{2} - t(b^2 - ac)} \frac{(-x)^c}{(-y)^b}, q^{c(b^2 - ac)}, z_1\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Following [14], we use the term ‘‘generic’’ to mean that the parameters do not cause poles in the Appell-Lerch sums or in the quotients of theta functions.

Theorem 2.1 ([14], Theorem 1.3). *Let n and p be positive integers with $(n, p) = 1$. For generic $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^*$,*

$$f_{n,n+p,n}(x, y, q) = g_{n,n+p,n}(x, y, q, -1, -1) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_{0,np(2n+p)}} \theta_{n,p}(x, y, q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{n,p}(x, y, q) &:= \sum_{r^*=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s^*=0}^{p-1} q^{n(r-\binom{n-1}{2})+(n+p)(r-(n-1)/2)(s+(n+1)/2)+n(s+\binom{n+1}{2})} (-x)^{r-(n-1)/2} \\ &\times \frac{(-y)^{s+(n+1)/2} J_{p^2(2n+p)}^3 j(-q^{np(s-r)} \frac{x^n}{y^n}; q^{np^2}) j(q^{p(2n+p)(r+s)+p(n+p)} (xy)^p; q^{p^2(2n+p)})}{j(q^{p(2n+p)r+p(n+p)/2} \frac{(-y)^{n+p}}{(-x)^n}, q^{p(2n+p)s+p(n+p)/2} \frac{(-x)^{n+p}}{(-y)^n}; q^{p^2(2n+p)})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $r := r^* + \{(n-1)/2\}$ and $s := s^* + \{(n-1)/2\}$ with $0 \leq \{\alpha\} < 1$ denoting the fractional part of α .

We can now prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The first step is to recognize $B_N(q)$ in a different context. For $N \geq 1$, consider the string function of level N of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$ (e.g., see [12, 15])

$$\mathcal{C}_{m,\ell}^N(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{m^2-\ell^2}{4N}}}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{N-1} \\ \frac{m+\ell}{2N} + (C^{-1}\mathbf{n})_1 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{q^{\mathbf{n}C^{-1}(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{e}_\ell)^T}}{(q)_{n_1} \cdots (q)_{n_{N-1}}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_{N-1})$, \mathbf{e}_i is the i -th standard unit vector in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} (with $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_N = 0$), C is the A_{N-1} Cartan matrix whose inverse C^{-1} is given by

$$(C^{-1})_{i,j} = \min(i, j) - \frac{ij}{N},$$

and $(C^{-1}\mathbf{n})_1$ is the first entry in the vector $C^{-1}\mathbf{n}$. A straightforward computation (see the proof of Theorem 6 in [2]) yields

$$B_N(q) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{N-1} \\ (C^{-1}\mathbf{n})_1 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{q^{\mathbf{n}C^{-1}\mathbf{n}^T}}{(q)_{n_1} \cdots (q)_{n_{N-1}}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Comparing (2.4) when $\ell = 0$ and m is divisible by $2N$ with (2.5), we have for all $N \geq 2$,

$$B_N(q) = q^{\frac{-m^2}{4N}} (q)_\infty \mathcal{C}_{m,0}^N(q). \quad (2.6)$$

Next, by Example 1.3 on page 386 of [14], we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{m,0}^N(q) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty^3} f_{1,N+1,1}(q^{1+m/2}, q^{1-m/2}, q).$$

Thus from (2.6), we deduce that for all $N \geq 2$ and m divisible by $2N$,

$$B_N(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{-m^2}{4N}}}{(q)_\infty^2} f_{1,N+1,1}(q^{1+m/2}, q^{1-m/2}, q). \quad (2.7)$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$f_{1,N+1,1}(q^{1+m/2}, q^{1-m/2}, q) = g_{1,N+1,1}(q^{1+m/2}, q^{1-m/2}, q, -1, -1) \\ + \frac{1}{\overline{J}_{0,N(N+2)}} \theta_{1,N}(q^{1+m/2}, q^{1-m/2}, q).$$

Now, observe that

$$g_{1,N+1,1}(q^{1+m/2}, q^{1-m/2}, q, -1, -1) = 0$$

as there are no poles in the Appell-Lerch series

$$m(q^{N(N+1)/2+m(N+2)/2}, q^{N(N+2)}, -1)$$

and

$$m(q^{N(N+1)/2-m(N+2)/2}, q^{N(N+2)}, -1)$$

(indeed, this is true whenever $m(N+2)/2 \not\equiv \pm N(N+1)/2 \pmod{N(N+2)}$, which is always the case when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2N}$) and $j(q^{1+m/2}; q) = j(q^{1-m/2}; q) = 0$. Thus,

$$B_N(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{-m^2}{4N}}}{(q)_\infty^2 \overline{J}_{0,N(N+2)}} \theta_{1,N}(q^{1+m/2}, q^{1-m/2}, q).$$

We now take $m = 0$. The factor $q^{\frac{-m^2}{4N}}$ disappears and $\theta_{1,N}(q, q, q)$ is given as in (1.4). This proves the result. □

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

There are several avenues for further study. First, Table 1 suggests that as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the limiting value of $B_N(q)$ is strictly larger than $(q)_\infty$. This contradicts [2, Corollary 11, (2)]. Thus, it would be desirable to compute both asymptotics for $B_N(q)$ and the correct limiting value of $\psi(n, k, q, N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Second, for $N = 2, 3$ and 4 , one can reduce the number of products of quotients of theta functions occurring in Theorem 1.2 by first invoking Theorems 1.9–1.11 in [14], then performing routine (yet possibly involved) simplifications [6]. In these cases, we require that $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2N}$, $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N(N+2)}$ and, if m is odd, $m \not\equiv \pm(N+1) \pmod{2(N+2)}$. For example, one can recover (1.3) in this manner. The details are left to the interested reader. Third, given that (2.6) is a key step in the proof of Theorem 1.2, it is natural to wonder if string functions which generalize (2.4) (see [9, 11]) can also be realized in terms of computing an appropriate probability. Finally, can Theorem 1.2 be understood via Markov chains, group theory or, possibly, Hall-Littlewood functions [16]?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Ole Warnaar for pointing out references [9, 11, 12]. The first author was partially supported by ANR COMBINé ANR-19-CE48-0011 and the Impulsion grant of IdexLyon. The second author was partially supported by Enterprise Ireland CS20212030.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *An analytic generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities for odd moduli*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **74** (1974), 4082–4085.
- [2] E. Byrne, A-L Horlemann, K. Khathuria and V. Weger, *Density of free modules over finite chain rings*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.09403>
- [3] R. Chapman, *A probabilistic proof of the Andrews-Gordon identities*, Discrete Math. **290** (2005), no. 1, 79–84.
- [4] H. Cohen, *On the p^k -rank of finite abelian groups and Andrews' generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. **47** (1985), no. 4, 377–383.
- [5] C. Delaunay, *Averages of groups involving p^ℓ -rank and combinatorial identities*, J. Number Theory 131 (2011), no. 3, 536–551.
- [6] J. Frye, F.G. Garvan, *Automatic proof of theta-function identities*, Elliptic integrals, elliptic functions and modular forms in quantum field theory, 195–258, Texts Monogr. Symbol. Comput., Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [7] J. Fulman, *A probabilistic proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), no. 4, 397–407.
- [8] B. Gordon, *A combinatorial generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961), 393–399.
- [9] G. Hatayama, A.N. Kirillov, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi and Y. Yamada, *Character formulae of \widehat{sl}_n -modules and inhomogeneous paths*, Nuclear Phys. B **536** (1999), no. 3, 575–616.
- [10] T. Honold, I. Landjev, *Linear codes over finite chain rings*, Electron. J. Combin. **7** (2000), Research Paper 11, 22pp.
- [11] A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi and J. Suzuki, *Characters in conformal field theories from thermodynamic Bethe ansatz*, Modern Phys. Lett. A **8** (1993), no. 18, 1649–1659.
- [12] J. Lepowsky, M. Primc, *Structure of the standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , Contemporary Mathematics, **46**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1985.
- [13] J. McLaughlin, A. Sills and P. Zimmer, *Rogers-Ramanujan-Slater type identities*, Electron. J. Combin. **15** (1998), Dynamic Surveys 15, 59pp.
- [14] E. Mortenson, D. Hickerson, *Hecke-type double sums, Appell-Lerch sums, and mock theta functions, I*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **109** (2014), no. 2, 382–422.
- [15] A. Schilling, O. Warnaar, *Conjugate Bailey pairs: from configuration sums to fractional-level string functions to Bailey's lemma*, Recent developments in infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and conformal field theory (Charlottesville, VA, 2000), 227–255, Contemp. Math., **297**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [16] J. Stembridge, *Hall-Littlewood functions, plane partitions, and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 319 (1990), no. 2, 469–498.

UNIV LYON, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, UMR5208, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE, FRANCE

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, BELFIELD, DUBLIN 4, IRELAND
 Email address: dousse@math.cnrs.fr
 Email address: robert.osburn@ucd.ie